	ED STATES PATENTA	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/873,943	06/04/2001	Alexander Lisheng Huang	8285/412	3353
7590 03/11/2004			EXAMINER	
Vincent J. Gno		DEANE JR, WILLIAM J		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P. O. BOX 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60610		2642 DATE MAILED: 03/11/200	. 6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

.

.

Mu

-----

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
-	09/873,943	HUANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William J Deane	2642
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statut - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of third ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 04 September 2001.	
	$\boxtimes$ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) $27-52$ is/are pending in the approximately $27-52$ i	oplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>27-52</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) $\Box$ The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority do</li> </ul>		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority do		pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the Internationa	· · · · · ·	
* See the attached detailed Office action t	or a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT	O/SB/08) 5) 🛄 Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .	6) 🗌 Other:	

.

Application/Control Number: 09/873,943 Art Unit: 2642

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the voice path using digital signal processing and packet transmission and all claimed method steps must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

This not an exhaustive list, but is meant only as examples of the types of

problems that exist.

No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the

Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings

will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 27 – 30 and 33 – 36, 39 – 45, 48 and 52 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,310,939 (Varney).

Application/Control Number: 09/873,943 Art Unit: 2642

the claimed limitations (See Figs. 1 - 2, Abstract and Col.2, line 1 - Col. 3, line 55).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Varney in view of the instant application.

With respect to claims 31 and 49, applicant admits that one of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate that a voice path could be implemented in other ways, such as digital signal processing (Page 8, lines 2 - 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such digital signal processing into the Varney device and method, as such would only entail the substitution of one known

means for another.

Claims 32, 37 – 38, 46 – 47, and 50 – 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Varney in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0108172

(Petty et al.).

With respect to claims 32 and 50, note that Petty et al. teach the claimed limitation (see Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided packet voice transmissions and processing as taught by Petty et al. into the Varney device and method, as such would make the system more flexible. Application/Control Number: 09/873,943 Art Unit: 2642

With respect to claims 37 - 38 and 46 - 47, it is noted that the making an integral device separable or vice –a-versa carries no patentable weight.

With respect to claim 51, such a limitation is inherent in Petty et al. and reads on the notoriously old in the art hook-flash.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

U.S. Patent No. 6,639,972 (Cannon et al.) - note Abstract;

U.S. Patent No. 6,529,587 (Cannon et al.) - note Abstract;

U.S. Patent No. 6,493,423 (Blum et al.) - note Abstract;

U.S. Patent No. 6,021,176 (McKendry et al.) - note Abstract; and

U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0076007 (Gibson et al.).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (703) 306-5838. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (703) 872-9306.

06Mar04

PRIMARY EXAMINER